Submission with RCE

**REMARKS** 

**Status of Claims** 

In response to the Office Action dated June 26, 2007, claims 1-3 have been canceled,

claims 4-10 have been amended and claims 11-13 have been added. Claims 4-13 are now

pending in this application. No new matter has been added.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

I. Claims 1 and 4 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Wiezel et al. (U.S. 2003/0169350) in view of Sannoh et al. (U.S. 2002/0149689), for the reasons

of record.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Wiezel et al. in view of Sannoh et al. and Nakamura (U.S. 2001/0008423), for the reasons

of record.

Claim 8 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiezel et

al. in view of Sannoh et al., as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Lavelle et al. (USPN

6,362,851), for the reasons of record.

Claims 9 and 10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Wiezel et al. in view of Sannoh et al. and Nakamura, as applied to claims 2 and 3, and further in

view of Lavelle et al., for the reasons of record.

Submission with RCE

II. The courtesy of the interview conducted on October 22, 2007, is acknowledged and appreciated. The PTOL-413, Interview Summary, accurately reflects what was discussed at the interview and no further comments are deemed necessary.

To expedite prosecution, independent claims 1-3 have been canceled and new independent claims 11-13 have been added. Claims 11-13 are the independent claims that were presented to the Examiner at the interview and are believed to clearly define over the applied prior art references and Wiezel et al. and Sannoh et al. in particular. For example, new independent claim 11 delineates, *inter alia*:

a controller for controlling operations of the camera, wherein in the photographing memory sub-mode of the at least one of the plurality of photographing modes, the controller:

directs performance of a composition determining operation in which freeze image data, representative of through image data currently formed on the solid state imaging device, is obtained and temporarily stored in the internal memory,

directs the focusing section to cause the distance measuring section to measure the distance when the composition determining operation is performed and to temporarily store the distance in the internal memory, and

after the composition determining operation has been finished and before the actual photographing operation of the at least one of the plurality of photographing modes corresponding to the photographing memory sub-mode is started, directs the image display section to display, in a superimposing manner, a composition based on the freeze image data obtained as a result of the composition determining operation and the through image representative of the object image currently formed on the solid state imaging device, and

during the actual photographing operation in the at least one of the plurality of photographing modes corresponding to the photographing memory sub-mode, the controller directs performing focusing in accordance with the distance measured when the composition determining operation was performed.

Submission with RCE

Wiezel et al. teaches that the photo templates (i.e., freeze image data) can come from many different sources. However, Wiezel et al. does not disclose that the photo templates are image data representative of through image data currently formed on the solid state imaging device that is obtained in a composition determining operation of a photographing memory submode of the at least one of a plurality of photographing modes, and then temporarily stored in the internal memory. Nor does Wiezel et al. disclose a focusing section causing a distance measuring section to measure the distance when the composition determining operation is performed and temporarily storing the distance in an internal memory of the camera.

Wiezel et al. also does not disclose that after finishing the composition determining operation and before the actual photographing operation of the photographing mode corresponding to the photographing memory sub-mode is started, displaying on a display section, in a superimposing manner, a composition based on the freeze image data obtained as a result of the composition determining operation and the through image representative of the object image currently formed on the solid state imaging device. Finally, Wiezel et al. does not disclose that during the actual photographing operation in the photographing mode corresponding to the photographing memory sub-mode, performing focusing in accordance with the distance measured when the composition determining operation was performed.

Independent claim 12 is similar to independent claim 11, but provides for measuring and storing luminance when the composition determining operation is performed, and performing exposure adjusting in accordance with the luminance measured in the photographing mode corresponding to the photographing memory sub-mode. Independent claim 13 provides a combination of measuring distance and luminance when the composition determining operation

Submission with RCE

is performed, and performing focusing in accordance with the distance measured and performing exposure adjusting in accordance with the luminance measured in the photographing mode

corresponding to the photographing memory sub-mode.

Wiezel et al. similarly does not disclose the features of independent claims 12 and 13.

Claims 4-10 have been amended to depend from respective ones of independent claims

11-13 instead of claims 1-3. Sannoh et al., Nakamura and Lavelle et al. do no remedy the above

noted deficiencies of Wiezel et al.

In view of the above, independent claims 11-13, as well as dependent claims 4-10, are

patentable over Wiezel et al., Sannoh et al., Nakamura and Lavelle et al., considered alone or in

combination. Therefore, the allowance of claims 4-13, as amended, is respectfully solicited.

**CONCLUSION** 

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in

condition for allowance.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Edward J. Wise (Reg. No. 34,523)

at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to

expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

Submission with RCE

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: October 26, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Marc S. Weiner

Registration No.: 32, 181

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747